Dean L. Engelhardt, et al. Serial No.: 08/479,997

Filed: June 7, 1995

Page 2 [Supplemental Amendment to Applicants' January 4, 2000 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.115 - June 20, 2000]

KINDLY AMEND THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED APPLICATION AS FOLLOWS:

In The Specification:

Page 53, last line, insert the following:

-- BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph that shows the results of a precipitation reaction of glucosylated DNA as described in Example XXI. Absorbance was measured at 260 nanometers for the reaction mixtures and control solutions.

Figure 2A is a graph that shows the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium-labeled lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXII. Non-glucosylated DNA was not bound whereas glucosylated DNA was bound to the column.

Kı

Figure 2B is a graph that shows the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXII. Non-glucosylated DNA was not bound wherease glucosylated DNA was column bound.

Example 3A is a graph that illustrates the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column when mannose is included in the buffer, as described in Example XXII.

Example 3B is a graph that illustrates the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column when mannose is included in the buffer, as described in Example XXII.

Example 4A is a graph that shows the retention of maltotriose labeled lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXIII.

Example 4B is also a graph that shows the retention of unsubstituted tritiated lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXIII. --

* * * * * *